Antidepressant Medication Use During Breastfeeding
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Abstract: We performed an electronic search by using MEDLINE, PreMEDLINE, Current Contents, Biological Abstracts, and PsycINFO from June 2002 to December 2008 using the following terms: “antidepressant drugs”, “antidepressive agents”, “human milk”, “lactation”, and “breastfeeding” and the generic name of each antidepressant. Articles in the English language with reports of antidepressants in maternal serum or breast milk, infant serum, and short-term and long-term clinical outcomes in the infants were obtained. The search yielded a total of 31 empirical papers. Breastfeeding and antidepressant treatments are not mutually exclusive. Sertraline, paroxetine, nortriptyline, and imipramine are the most evidence-based medications for use during breastfeeding.
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Human milk represents the ideal primary source of nutrients, immunologic defenses, and growth-promoting factors for the term and preterm newborn, and provides the mother-infant dyad with major short and long-term health benefits.1,2 Breastfed infants have less risk of mortality and morbidity (ie, gastrointestinal and respiratory infections, urinary infections, sepsis, meningitis, necrotizing enterocolitis). Breastfeeding continues to offer health benefits into and after early childhood. These include decreased risk for asthma, inflammatory bowel disease, diabetes mellitus and hematologic cancers during infancy, as well as lower rate of obesity and asthma in adolescence. Breastfeeding also provides benefits for the mother’s health. It has been associated with reduced risk of ovarian cancer and breast cancer, as well as post partum weight retention and
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Moreover, the intimate bodily contact during breastfeeding comprises the initial interpersonal communication and facilitates the mother-infant attachment. Both the American Academy of Pediatrics and the World Health Organization recommended the use of exclusive breast milk for 6 months for all infants with the option of adequate substitutes only for infants who cannot be breastfed.²,³

The prevalence of postpartum depression (PPD) has been estimated as high as 14.5%.⁴ Depressed mothers may prefer to avoid pharmacologic treatment because of concerns about adverse effects in the nursing infants.⁵,⁶ Women with PPD depression who are breastfeeding receive fewer prescriptions for psychotropic medications compared with nonbreastfeeding women and are more likely to choose a nonpharmacologic treatment.⁵ Although psychotherapy has been demonstrated to be efficacious in treating PPD,⁶ it is not widely available in public settings. The high prevalence of untreated PPD is a major health issue because of its association with functional impairment in the mother, elevated risk of psychopathology in the children and impacts on the mother-infant relationship.⁷,⁸

Considering the use of antidepressants during lactation is a common clinical conundrum owing to the frequency with which PPD occurs. Antidepressant medications are also appropriate for the treatment of other disorders common in women of childbearing age, such as panic disorder, generalized anxiety disorder, obsessive-compulsive disorder and bulimia.⁹ Therefore, physicians will frequently encounter challenging questions such as: (1) What are the risks of untreated maternal illness? (2) Are breastfeeding and antidepressant drug treatment mutually exclusive? (3) Is there evidence to support that specific antidepressants are more favorable for use during breastfeeding? (4) What is known about the more recently released antidepressants? (5) Are there practical strategies that reduce the infant’s exposure to antidepressants? and (6) How can potential adverse effects related to drug transmission through breast milk be monitored in the infant?

The available evidence on the effects of antidepressants during lactation largely consists of case reports and studies of small samples. A detailed review and pooled analysis of antidepressant levels in lactating mothers, breast milk and nursing infants was published in the *Am J Psychiatry* in June, 2004.¹⁰ This paper included publications through June, 2002, from searches of MEDLINE, PreMEDLINE, Current Contents, Biological Abstracts and PsychINFO (through July 2002) plus bibliographic searches of these identified articles. Results of the pooled analyses indicated that breastfed infants exposed to paroxetine and sertraline were unlikely to develop detectable serum drug levels. Infants exposed to fluoxetine through breast milk were more likely to develop elevated levels of the drug especially if the mothers started the treatment during pregnancy (owing to prenatal loading). The data on citalopram were limited compared the other serotonergic selective reuptake inhibitors (SSRIs), but suggested that some infants developed quantifiable serum levels of the drug which may be associated with adverse effects.

Short-term adverse effects had been identified for doxepin (a tricyclic), citalopram, paroxetine and fluoxetine, whereas data on the long-term effects were limited. The authors concluded that breastfeeding women may reasonably choose to use antidepressants and that routine laboratory measurement of infant serum drug levels for clinical purposes were not warranted. The authors suggested the evaluation of serum infant levels as a direct measure of infant exposure in future research, as infant exposure to drugs is influenced not only by the amount ingested through breastfeeding.
but also by the capacity to metabolize the drug.

The goals of this paper are to: (1) Provide the results of a literature search with the same databases as in Weissman et al from June, 2002 through December, 2008. (2) Compare the data derived from the newer set of papers to the original publications to determine if a change in recommendations is appropriate. (3) Present data from recently released antidepressants and provide clinical guidance on their use.

**Methods**

An electronic search was performed by using MEDLINE, PreMEDLINE, Current Contents, Biological Abstracts, and PsycINFO from June 2002 to December 2008 using the following key search terms: “antidepressant drugs”, “antidepressive agents”, “human milk”, “lactation”, and “breastfeeding” and the generic name of each antidepressant agent. Articles in the English language with reports of antidepressants in maternal serum or breast milk, infant serum, and short-term and long-term clinical outcomes in the infants were obtained. This procedure yielded a total of 63 papers reporting the following types of publications: editorials (N = 2), letters to the editor (N = 5); reviews with practical recommendations by the authors (N = 25); practical guidelines developed by scientific commissions (N = 3); empirical papers (N = 31), of which 12 were single case reports and 19 were small samples case series (N ≤ 20). We identified empirical papers listed as follows: SSRIs (N = 20); serotonin-noradrenergic reuptake inhibitors (SNRIs) (N = 4), respectively, venlafaxine (N = 3) and duloxetine (N = 1); tricyclic/heterocyclic antidepressants (TCAs) (N = 1); noradrenergic and specific serotonergic antidepressant (NaSSA: mirtazapina) (N = 3); and norepinephrine dopamine reuptake inhibitor (bupropion) (N = 5).

**TCA**

The tricyclic and heterocyclic antidepressants exhibit their therapeutic effect through blocking reuptake of norepinephrine, dopamine and serotonin, with the level of blockade dependent upon the specific agent. Tricyclic antidepressants are associated with cardiac toxicity in overdose and a variety of adverse effects because of their multiple receptor impacts. As a consequence, the use of tricyclics has decreased in clinical practice. However, a double blind randomized clinical trial of nortriptyline (TCA) versus sertraline (SSRI) for PPD identified similar rates of both response and remission. The rate of side effects or impairment owing to the side effects did not differ in the nortriptyline compared with the sertraline-treated women. Although the side effect burden did not differ in the TCA or SSRI-treated groups, the specific profiles did, with dry mouth, thirst, constipation in the TCA-treated group and headaches, perspiration; hot flashes in the SSRI-treated group. Breastfeeding women were included in this randomized clinical trial. Sixteen women in the NTP group were breastfeeding and provided mother-infant samples. The mean age of the nursing infants was 5.9 weeks. Infant NTP serum levels ranged from non-detectable (ND) to 4 ng/mL; E-10-hydroxyNTP ranged from ND to 7 ng/mL, and Z-10-hydroxyNTP ranged from ND to 8 ng/mL. No adverse events were noted in the infants.

The majority of the available infant serum level data for TCAs includes NTP and imipramine, which in most cases are not detectable and have not been associated with adverse clinical events. Doxepin (which is very sedating and has a metabolite with a long half-life) has been associated with sedation and respiratory depression and has been widely considered contraindicated. TCAs can be considered first choice treatments for women with PPD when the mother has been treated successfully for past episodes and
there are no current contraindications for their use, such as suicidality.

**SSRIs**

Beyond the primary activity of selective inhibition of serotonergic reuptake, different SSRIs display noradrenergic and dopaminergic reuptake inhibition, serotonin 2C, muscarinic and sigma 1 receptor antagonism, as well as inhibition of nitric oxide synthesis and of various cytochrome P450 enzymes. During the first days of treatment there may be adverse events owing to rapidly increased serotonin levels in discrete regions of the body. These effects are usually mild and resolve owing to desensitization of postsynaptic receptors. However, Wisner et al recommended starting with half the usual SSRI dose in women with PPD owing to increased initial sensitivity, which resulted in severe headache in several subjects in a randomized trial. Because of their relative safety in overdose, SSRIs are widely prescribed and are often first choice antidepressants. Data published, since the Weissman et al's paper are consistent with their findings.

**Citalopram**

Four controlled studies and one case report on citalopram have been published since June, 2002. Heikkinen et al enrolled 11 mothers taking citalopram (20 to 40 mg daily) during pregnancy and lactation and a control group of 10 drug-free mothers. Outcome measures were infant weight and neurologic development through 1 year. The weights of infants of citalopram-treated mothers did not differ from the weight so infants without drug. The neurologic development of all infants was judged as normal by pediatricians and physiotherapists. Data published, since the Weissman et al's paper are consistent with their findings.

Hendrick et al compared 78 nursing infants with mothers taking a variety of SSRIs and 6-month-old breastfed infants from normative population. The outcome was weight at 6 months of age, and no difference was found between groups. Only 3 infants were exposed to citalopram and no data on infant exposure level was provided. Berle et al compared 26 breastfed infants whose mothers were treated with a variety of SSRIs in monotherapy (mean age 19 wk) with 68 nursing infants whose mothers were drug-free (mean age 16 wk). Ten infants (mean age 18 wk) were in the citalopram-treated group. The short-term adverse events did not differ between the 2 groups. The authors found detectable but low serum levels of citalopram in 6 of the 10 infants (mean level 1.9 mmol/L). The authors also measured the cytochrome P450 genotypes of CYP2C19 to identify poor metabolizers who are likely to develop drug-specific adverse events. One citalopram-treated mother was identified as a poor metabolizer (homozygous for the inactivating CYP2C19*2 mutation). Although this mother had the highest serum drug concentration (394 nmol/L)
among the citalopram-treated group, her twin infants, extensive metabolizers (both heterozygous for the same mutation) had low serum levels of citalopram (2 and 3 mmol/L, respectively) (Berle JO, personal communication: January 29, 2009).

Lee et al17 compared the frequency of signs in 31 infants with depressed citalopram-treated mothers, 12 infants with depressed mothers treated with a variety of SSRIs, and 31 infants with healthy drug-free mothers. There were no significant differences between the groups in the frequency of infant signs as reported by the mothers and physicians. Among the infants of citalopram-treated mothers, 9.7% had decreased feeding, colic, and irritability compared with no signs in infants with mothers treated with other SSRIs, and any intervention was required. No measures of infant exposure were provided. Franssen et al18 reported a case of an infant of a mother taking citalopram (40 mg daily) during pregnancy and breastfeeding. The infant had irregular breathing, hypotonia, sleep disruption through 3 weeks after delivery that gradually resolved Consistent with Heikkenen et al,14 the relative dose at 25 days and 53 days after delivery were 0.9% and 1.8%, respectively, and the M/P were 2.0 and 2.8, respectively, at the same time points.

**Escitalopram**

Escitalopram is the therapeutically active S-stereoisomer (enantiomer) of the SSRI citalopram and is noted for its highly selective serotonin reuptake inhibition. Since June 2002, 1 study with small sample and 4 case reports have been published. Rampono et al19 evaluated the exposure to escitalopram and its active metabolite in 8 infants of mother taking a median dose of 10 mg daily of the drug. The M/P and the relative dose of escitalopram plus demethylescitalopram were 2.2% and 5.3%, respectively. Neither short-term adverse events nor developmental abnormalities were noted by a neonatologist. Gentile20 reported a case of a depressed mother who took escitalopram (20 mg daily) at week 24 of gestation and discontinued the therapy gradually over the 2-month period before the delivery. The mother restarted escitalopram at the same dosage postbirth. The infant had no adverse events, as evaluated by a pediatrician through 3 months of age, but no measures of infant exposure were provided.

Potts et al21 reported an case of necrotizing enterocolities (NEC) on the fifth postnatal day in a term neonate exposed to escitalopram (20 mg daily) in utero and during breastfeeding. The mother continued taking escitalopram and discontinued breastfeeding. The authors commented on the possible mechanisms that may increase the risk of NEC, including a hypercoagulable state resulting from SSRI withdrawal, as well as vasoconstriction owing to the inhibition of nitric oxide production by SSRIs. It is important to note that causality cannot be inferred from a single case report; however, cases of NEC have been previously reported in 2 term neonates whose mothers took paroxetine during pregnancy in association with other agents (desipramine and buspirone, respectively).22 The interesting aspect of the cases above is that NEC is unusual in full-term infants.

In a case of a mother taking escitalopram (20 mg daily) in combination with reboxetine (4 mg daily), the relative dose of escitalopram was 4.6% and no adverse events were observed in the nursing infant.23 Castberg et al24 evaluated exposure levels and short-term adverse events in a nursing infant with escitalopram-treated mother at 1 week and 7.5 weeks of age. At 1 week, the mother was taking escitalopram (5 mg daily) and at week 7.5 increased the dose to 10 mg daily and added valproate (1200 mg/day). The relative doses of escitalopram at 1 and 7 weeks after delivery were 5.1% and 7.7%,
respectively, and M/P were 2.49 and 2.03 at the same time points. The infant did not have clinical abnormalities as reported by the mother and the general practitioner.

**Fluoxetine**

The SSRI fluoxetine is characterized by its long half-life, which ranges from 1 to 3 days after a single dose and from 4 to 6 days after a long-term use. Similarly, its active metabolite, norfluoxetine has a half-life as long as 16 days. Its pharmacokinetic characteristics explains why fluoxetine is more likely than other SSRIs to result in quantifiable levels in breast milk and infant serum. Additionally, in utero exposure may result in prenatal loading which contributes to postbirth infant serum levels.

Since 2002, 5 controlled and 2 uncontrolled studies have been published. In Heikkinen et al25 11 mothers taking fluoxetine (20 to 40 mg daily) during pregnancy and lactation and a control group of 10 medication-free mothers were recruited. Their infants were compared on weight and neurologic development during 1 year. Infant weights were not significantly different between the 2 groups and the neurologic development of all infants was judged as normal by pediatricians and physiotherapists. The authors monitored plasma and breast levels of fluoxetine and its active metabolite norfluoxetine during pregnancy through to 2 months after delivery with a single breast milk and infant plasma sample at several time points. The infant plasma concentration of fluoxetine plus norfluoxetine decreased during the first 2 months (ranging from 334 ± 173 the second day to 22 ± 14 at 2 mo after delivery). The M/P ranged from 0.3 to 2.2 and from 0.1 to 1.7, respectively, for fluoxetine and norfluoxetine. The relative dose of fluoxetine plus norfluoxetine was 2.4% at 2 weeks and 3.8% at 2 months after delivery. These results suggested minimal exposure of the infant to the drug. No evidence of short-term adverse events or neurodevelopmental impact at 1 year of age was observed. In 2 controlled studies considering a variety of SSRIs, 2 lactating women were fluoxetine-treated. Berle et al16 detected only the active metabolite norfluoxetine in the serum (47 nmol/L) of 1 nursing infant, and no adverse events were reported. In the single case of breastfed infant of a fluoxetine-treated mother described by Lee et al17 any adverse effects was noted. In another study 29 breastfed infants did not differ in weight at 6 months compared with a normative population.15 In both studies any measure of infant exposure was provided. Oberlander et al26 found detectable serum levels of fluoxetine in 7 infants with prenatal and postnatal exposure to fluoxetine (mean dose of 21.4 mg daily). Fluoxetine was detectable in 2 of the 7 infants serum (mean level 2 ng/mL), and the M/P was 0.65. Kim et al27 evaluated 23 women taking fluoxetine (10 to 30 mg daily) and collected samples at a mean time point of 3.7 months postpartum. The relative dose of fluoxetine and norfluoxetine were 0.54 and 0.57, respectively, and the M/P for the 2 compounds were 0.62 and 0.60, respectively.

Epperson et al28 studied the effect of serotonin uptake in platelets in 11 mother-infant pairs (infant mean age at the start of the study before and after 4 to 12 weeks of maternal treatment with fluoxetine (20 to 40 mg daily). Although the mothers showed the expected marked decline in platelet serotonin, only 1 infant had little or no decline in platelet serotonin. Moreover, no adverse events were noted in the nursing infants. Because platelets and neurons share the same serotonin transporter, this report suggested that fluoxetine delivered to the infant through breast milk had minimal impact on serotonin blockade in the infant brain.

**Fluvoxamine**

Fluvoxamine has no active metabolites and its mean half-life is 15.6 hours, the
shortest half-life among all SSRIs. As Weissman et al’s paper,10 2 controlled studies (with fluvoxamine 1 among a variety of SSRIs) and 1 case report have been published. Hendrick et al15 reported that 3 infants exposed to fluvoxamine did not differ in weight compared with unexposed breastfed infants at 6 months. Lee et al17 reported a single case of nursing infant with fluvoxamine-treated mother, with any noted adverse event. In both studies no measures of infant exposure was provided. Gentile29 reported a case of infant breastfed partially for 3 months. The mother took fluvoxamine (200 mg daily) and quetiapine (400 mg daily). No clinical abnormalities were noted; however, the mother discontinued breastfeeding because of insufficient milk production. No measures of infant exposure were provided.

**Paroxetine**

Since June 2002, 4 controlled studies (2 of those considering paroxetine among a variety of SSRIs) and 4 cases have been published. Berle et al16 calculated infant exposure to paroxetine in 6 breastfed infants of mean age of 16 weeks. Paroxetine was not detectable in any infant serum. No evidence of short-term adverse events was reported. The authors also measured the cytochrome P450 genotypes of CYP2D6 to identify poor metabolizers who are likely to develop drug-specific adverse events. One mother and her infant were identified as carriers of the CYP2D6 poor metabolizer profile (both homozygous for the inactivating CYP2D6*4 mutation). Despite the mother having the highest serum drug concentration among the paroxetine-treated mothers (210 nmol/L), paroxetine was non detectable in the serum of her infant who was also a poor metabolizer. In Hendrick et al15 15 breastfed infants of sertraline-treated mothers did not differ in weight compared with unexposed breastfed infants at 6 months.15 In Lee et al17 3 nursing infants with mothers paroxetine-treated did not have any adverse effect. In both studies any measure of infant exposure was provided. Merlob et al30 compared weight gain and neurodevelopment at 3, 6, 12, months of age in 27 nursing infants with paroxetine-treated mothers, 27 nursing infants with drug-free mothers, and 19 bottle-fed infants of drug-free mothers. The weight at 6 and 12 months did not differ between the groups and development was normal in all infants. One infant with paroxetine-treated mother developed irritability. No measures of infant exposure were provided. Misri et al31 reported 4 cases of breastfed infants of mothers treated with paroxetine in association with quetiapine. In only 1 infant was the breast milk level of paroxetine detectable (776 nmol/L) and the estimated level of paroxetine exposure were less than 0.26 mg/kg/d. Two infants showed typical development; whereas 2 infants showed mild delay but they had no detectable levels of the drug in the milk.

**Sertraline**

Since June 2002, 4 controlled studies (3 of those considering sertraline among a variety of SSRIs), 1 noncontrolled study and 1 case report have been published. In Lee et al,17 only 2 cases were sertraline-treated and no adverse effects were noted (data on infant exposure are not shown). In Hendrick et al,15 25 breastfed infants of sertraline-treated mothers did not differ in weight from control infants at 6 months. No measure of infant exposure was provided. Oberlander et al26 found detectable but low serum levels of sertraline in 4 infants at 2 months of age in mothers who had prenatal and postnatal treatment (mean dose 87 mg daily). Sertraline was not detectable in any of the infants, and the M/P was 1.02. Stowe et al32 evaluated exposure to sertraline and its active metabolite desmethylsertraline in 26 breastfed infants whose mothers were given a mean dose of 124 mg daily. Sertraline and its metabolite were
detectable in 18% and 50% of the cases, respectively. Using a mathematical model, the authors found the relative dose of sertraline and its metabolite was 0.54 of the maternal dose. No adverse effects were reported in the infants. As the highest concentrations of the compounds were found in the hindmilk 8 to 9 hours after ingestion, the authors suggested that reduction of infant exposure could be achieved by discarding breast milk at 8 to 9 hours after maternal drug intake. This strategy also has been recommended by the Academy of Breastfeeding Medicine. However, whether this strategy produces a clinically meaningful reduction in an already low exposure remains theoretical.

In Wisner et al., 13 women in the SERT group were breastfeeding and provided mother-infant samples. The mean age of the nursing infants was 5.9 weeks. Sertraline was ND in the infant serum (<2 ng/mL) and the metabolite N-desmethyl-SERT ranged from ND to 6 ng/mL. No adverse events were noted. In a case report by Hackett et al., sertraline (300 mg daily) was taken in combination with reboxetine (8 mg daily). The relative dose of sertraline plus its active metabolite (demethylsertraline) was 3.7%. No short-term adverse events or neurodevelopment abnormalities according to the Denver development assessment were noted.

**SNRIs**

SNRIs (venlafaxine, desmethylvenlafaxine, and duloxetine) display their therapeutic effects through the combined inhibition of the reuptake of serotonin and noradrenaline, and less potent inhibition of dopaminergic reuptake. The venlafaxine metabolite (desmethylvenlafaxine) has been recently released, but no data are available.

**Venlafaxine**

Since June 2002, 1 controlled study (considering venlafaxine among a variety of SSRIs) and 2 cases have been published. In Berle et al, only 3 cases were venlafaxine-treated. Venlafaxine was detected in only one infant, whereas its metabolite O-desmethylvenlafaxine was detected in all infants. The infant serum concentration of venlafaxine plus its metabolite O-desmethylvenlafaxine was 91 nmol/L, and the relative dose was 10.2 (mean values). In Misri et al, 2 nursing infants of venlafaxine-treated mothers taking 75 mg and 225 mg daily, respectively, showed detectable levels of the drug in the breast milk (371 nmol/L and 1179 nmol/L, respectively) and no developmental abnormalities at 1 year of age. Venlafaxine delivered through breast milk has been proposed as a strategy to attenuate withdrawal syndrome after in utero exposure.

**Duloxetine**

Duloxetine is indicated not only for the treatment of depression and anxiety disorder but also for the treatment of neuropathic pain associated with diabetes. Duloxetine has an elimination half-life of about 12 hours (range: 8 to 17 h) and its major circulating metabolites do not contribute significantly to the pharmacologic activity.

Since June 2002, only 1 uncontrolled study has been published. Lobo et al evaluated the pharmacokinetics of the drug in 6 women taking 40 mg of duloxetine every 12 hours for 3.5 days. After the seventh dose plasma and milk samples were obtained over 12 hours. The milk to M/P was 0.25, and the relative dose of duloxetine was 0.14%. Infant adverse events were not evaluated.

**NaSSA**

**Mirtazapine**

Mirtazapine’s therapeutic mechanism is by blocking presynaptic \( \alpha-2 \) adrenergic receptors that normally inhibit the release of the noradrenaline and serotonin. Its
half life is 37 hours. Since June 2002, 1 uncontrolled study and 2 case reports have been published and represent the first studies providing quantitative data on the infant exposure to NaSSA antidepressants. Kristensen et al calculated the transfer of mirtazapine and its metabolite into breast milk in 8 infants with mirtazapine-treated mothers (median dose 38 mg daily). The mean M/P for mirtazapine and desmethylmirtazapine were 1.1 and 0.6, and the relative dose of the 2 compounds combined was 1.9%. Aichhorn et al described a case of a nursing infant with mother taking 30 mg daily of mirtazapine. The drug was present in the breast milk after 6 weeks of treatment (7 and 18 ng/mL in the foremilk and hindmilk, respectively), and no psychomotor abnormalities were noted by a pediatrician. In the case reported by Klier et al, although mirtazapine was detectable in the breast milk 10 hours after the mother’s intake of 22.5 mg of the drug (61 ng/mL in the foremilk, 90 ng/mL in the hindmilk), the infant serum levels were undetectable at 12.5 hours postdose.

**NOREPINEPHRINE AND DOPAMINE REUPTAKE INHIBITOR**

*Bupropion*  
Bupropion acts as a norepinephrine and dopamine reuptake inhibitor, and nicotinic antagonist. Its half life is 20 hours and it is converted into multiple active metabolites, the most potent of which is 6-hydroxy-bupropion. Bupropion is often used as an augmentation strategy to SSRIs or SNRIs. Bupropion is not associated with weight gain or sexual dysfunction.

Since June 2002, 5 single cases and one cohort study have been published. Baab et al calculated infant exposure to bupropion and its active metabolite in 2 nursing infants of mothers taking 150 mg of bupropion. Serum infant levels were undetectable in both cases (<5 and <10 ng/mL) at respectively, 17 weeks and 39.5 weeks of age. The pediatricians did not observe any adverse events. Chaudron and Schoenecker reported a single case of seizure in a 6 months nursing infant after 4 days of the mother’s treatment with sustained release bupropion (starting dose 150 mg and increase to 300 mg after 36 h). The mother discontinued bupropion and started sertraline, with no further seizures in the infant. No laboratory confirmation of either maternal or infant exposure was obtained. In a open trial of sustained release bupropion for PPD, 2 women were breastfeeding and reported no adverse events in their infants. No additional data on infant exposure were provided. In the study by Haas et al 10 healthy postpartum volunteers took 150 mg bupropion for 3 days and then 300 mg for 4 days. Bupropion and its active metabolites (hydroxybupropion, erythrohydrobupropion, threohydrobupropion) were present in breast milk (mean levels 45.2, 104.6, 72.1, 459 ng/mL, respectively). The average M/P for bupropion, hydroxybupropion, erythrohydrobupropion, and threohydrobupropion were 2.8, 0.1, 0.9, and 1.2, respectively. The average infant exposure was expected to be 2% of the standard maternal dose on a molar basis. No adverse effects were noted by the mothers.

**PRACTICAL RECOMMENDATIONS**  
Recommendations are on the basis of single case reports, studies with small samples (rarely case-control), consensus and expert opinions (Level B, C, D of recommendation according to the UK National Health Service). Moreover, the empirical studies with the largest samples (N ≥ 20) included a variety of antidepressants which limits data on specific agents. Practical recommendations derived from published data provide clinical guidance as scientific evidence evolves.

To date 3 scientific commissions developed practical guidelines regarding the
use of antidepressants during breastfeeding. They converge in recommending as follows: (1) the choice of the specific treatment of breastfeeding mothers should derive from a personalized risk-benefit analysis considering the risk of untreated maternal illness, the risk/benefit of the specific treatment, the risk/benefit of breastfeeding for the mother/infant pair (Fig. 1). (2) Complete information regarding availability and risk/benefit of the treatments should be provided to facilitate the patient’s informed decision making. (3) Nonpharmacological interventions, such as psychotherapy should be considered as first line for the treatment of mild to moderate postpartum depression. (4) Antidepressants (alone or in combination with non-pharmacological interventions) should be considered for women with moderate-to-severe depression or not responding (or declining) psychologic treatments. (5) The choice of the specific antidepressant should be based on clinical factors, particularly previous efficacious treatments. (6) If the woman is suffering from the first lifetime episode, sertraline or paroxetine should be the agents of first

choice. (7) The antidepressant should be started at the lowest effective dose and increased slowly. (8) Monotherapy is preferred. (9) Mothers and infants’ clinical conditions should be monitored, particularly for sick or low birth weight infants. (10) Serum infant levels are not recommended routinely but only if clinically indicated and by a research laboratory.

Controversy exists as to whether the current assessments are accurate in estimating the infant exposure to drugs through breastfeeding, and innovative laboratory methods to reflect infant serum concentration using breast milk have been explored. However, to date the available biologic parameters lack reliability and are not correlated with clinical outcomes. Gentile proposed a Specific Safety Index based on the frequency and degree of severity of adverse events in nursing infants. Similarly, on the basis of available scientific literature, Hale developed Lactation Risk Categories for a variety of medications, including antidepressants that can be consulted in clinical practice.

Conclusions

For this article, we have updated the material of the paper by Weissman et al in 2004, expanding the data for newer agents (Table 1). In line with their results recent findings confirm that antidepressants differ with respect to infant exposure. Data remain limited, correlations with clinical outcome require increased methodologic sophistication, and rates of adverse events have not been established. We cannot conclude that undetectable levels of drug have no short-term or long-term effects, or that measurable drug levels in infant serum are associated with adverse events. Moreover, the recent studies evaluating the long-term effects of antidepressants delivered through breast milk are limited to infants 1 year of age and are often confounded by prenatal exposure to either drug or major depression. The only indirect biologic evidence that serotonin transmitters may not be affected are the studies of Epperson et al with no observed platelet serotonin effects for breastfed infants with mothers treated with sertraline or fluoxetine.

Because there are limited data on preterm infants, theoretical risks on the basis of the pharmacologic principles are invoked (ie, incomplete nephrogenesis, and undeveloped metabolizing enzymes). In Wisner and Perel’s preterm infant (36 wk) of NTP-treated mother presented with drug and metabolite levels similar to those of full term infants whose mothers began taking NTP at birth. As short-term and long-term benefits of breast milk apply especially for preterm infants, collection of data on those women who provide breast milk to preterm infants would be a notable research contribution. This work would require careful attention to the amount of breast milk compared with the supplemental formula that the infant receives, as well as other medications, both given to the mother prepartum and to the infant.

We return to the questions listed in the introduction of this manuscript. (1) The exposure of infants to relatively low doses of antidepressants through breast milk must be juxtaposed with that of untreated maternal PPD, which has well-established negative consequences in multiple domains that is reviewed in this supplement (Brand and Brennan), if the woman’s treatment of choice is drug therapy. For example, in a recent study infants whose mothers experienced chronic PPD had significantly lower weights compared with infants whose mothers had short episodes of depression and infants of mother who did not have depression. In the same study, the exposure to antidepressants during breastfeeding did not affect infant’s weight. (2) Breastfeeding and treatment with antidepressant medications are not mutually exclusive. The benefits of
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breastfeeding for maternal and infants health are well-documented.\textsuperscript{1,2} (3) Sertraline and paroxetine (among SSRIs) and nortriptyline and imipramine (among TCAs) are the most evidence-based medications for use during breastfeeding because of similar findings across multiple laboratories, usually undetectable infant serum levels and no reports of short-term adverse events. (4) Evidence on the more recently released antidepressants is represented by uncontrolled studies and case reports and is limited to short-term evaluation. These agents should not be first choices in postpartum women who want to continue breastfeeding unless demonstrated efficacy in past episodes is clear and no new contraindications exist for their use in the individual woman. (5) Although strategies to reduce infant exposure to antidepressants have been suggested (ie, discarding the breast milk obtained during the peak serum level), whether such strategies produce a clinically meaningful reduction in an already low exposure has not been established. (6) Long-term follow-up of infants exposed through breastfeeding, with control for maternal depression, remains an understudied area. However, exposure of the fetus during pregnancy is substantially greater than that during lactation, and the minimal data available on longer-term development has not demonstrated delays.\textsuperscript{55–57}

\textbf{TABLE 1. Empirical Studies Published Since June, 2002}

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Class</th>
<th>Individual Medication</th>
<th>No. Empirical Studies</th>
<th>No. Nursing Infants</th>
<th>Summary of Short and Long-term Clinical Adverse Events</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TCAs</td>
<td>Nortryptiline</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Citalopram</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>1 case of transient irregular breathing, hypotonia, sleep disruption through 3 wk after delivery\textsuperscript{18*}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3 cases of infants colic, decreased feeding, irritability/ restlessness, respectively\textsuperscript{17}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No intervention was required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Escitalopram</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1 case of infant necrotizing enterocolitis in an full-term infant\textsuperscript{21*}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSRIs</td>
<td>Citalopram</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fluoxetine</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fluvoxamine</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1 case of irritability\textsuperscript{30}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Paroxetine</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>2 cases of mild neuro developmental delay at 1 y of age\textsuperscript{31†}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNRIs</td>
<td>Sertraline</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Venlafaxine</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Duloxetine</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>NE\textsuperscript{35‡}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NaSSA</td>
<td>Mirtazapine</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NDRIs</td>
<td>Bupropion</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1 case of seizure\textsuperscript{40§}</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\textsuperscript{*} The infant was exposed to the drugs also in utero.
\textsuperscript{†} As assessed by the Bayley Scales of Infant Development, Second Edition.
\textsuperscript{‡} NE indicates not evaluated.
\textsuperscript{§} The infant was 6-months and the mother was taking sustained released bupropion (starting dose 150 mg/d). Any measure of infant exposure was obtained (This seems to be an incomplete statement) scalea.

NaSSA indicates noradrenergic and specific serotonergic antidepressant; NDR1, norepinephrine dopamine reuptake inhibitor; SNRI, serotonin-noradrenergic reuptake inhibitors; SSRI, serotonergic selective reuptake inhibitors; TCA, tricyclic antidepressants.
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